INRC Spring 2022 Workshop New Tools for a New Era of Neuromorphic Computing

Mike Davies Director, Neuromorphic Computing Lab

intel[®] labs

April 19, 2022 Intel Neuromorphic Research Community Spring 2022 Workshop



Legal Information

Performance varies by use, configuration and other factors. Learn more at www.Intel.com/PerformanceIndex.

Performance results are based on testing as of dates shown in configurations and may not reflect all publicly available updates. See backup for configuration details. No product or component can be absolutely secure.

Your costs and results may vary.

Results have been estimated or simulated.

Intel technologies may require enabled hardware, software or service activation.

Intel does not control or audit third-party data. You should consult other sources to evaluate accuracy.

Intel disclaims all express and implied warranties, including without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement, as well as any warranty arising from course of performance, course of dealing, or usage in trade.

© Intel Corporation. Intel, the Intel logo, and other Intel marks are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries. Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Welcome! To Our Second Fully Virtual INRC Workshop

Fall Workshop in Graz, Oct 2019	Winter Workshop Feb 2021	Spring Workshop April 2022
Face-to-face	Webex	Teams
100 Attendees	350+	700+
Barely any recorded content	Nearly all content recorded	Nearly all content recorded
Most sessions closed to formally engaged members	Most sessions open to the broader community	Nearly all sessions open to the broader community
PDF agenda	Event website	Event website
Hallway chats, lunches, dinners	Slack	Slack
Acoustics problems	Connection problems	We will see

(Next one hybrid – fingers crossed)

Workshop Goals

Long-time INRC Members	New Neuro Researchers	New Industry Participants			
~40%	~20%				
Learn about our new tools (l	_ava, algorithmic libraries, and Loihi 2)	and how to start developing			
Learn and share new results, ideas, and developments with Loihi and the broader community					
Ν	า				
	nd ("first era" of Loihi research)				
		Share needs and identify compelling business opportunities			



Time (PDT/CET)	Session	Speakers
8:00 / 17:00	Welcome: Workshop kick-off	
8:05 / 17:05	New Tools for a New Era of Neuromorphic Computing	Mike Davies
8:40 / 17:40	Loihi 2	
8:55 / 17:55	Lava	Mike Davies, Andreas Wild
9:40 / 18:40	Workshop and Community Orientation	Tim Shea + WG leads
10:00 / 19:00	Q&A / Break	
10:30 / 19:30	Featured Community Results	
10:35/19:35	 Alien vs Terminator: D-Wave vs Loihi 	Garrett Kenyon, LANL
10:52/19:52	 Monte Carlo Simulations on Loihi 	Brad Aimone, Sandia
11:09/20:09	Loihi in Orbit: the First 90 Days	Michael Lowry, NASA
11:26/20:26	The Backpropagation Algorithm Implemented on Loihi	Alpha Renner, LANL
11:43 / 20:43	 VSA With Phasor Neurons on Loihi - Towards Neuromorphic Visual Odometry 	Alpha Renner, ETHz/INI
17:00 / 02:00	Loihi 2 Deep Dive	Garrick Orchard

Our Goal

Develop a new programmable computing technology inspired by the modern understanding of brain computation





Integrate neuromorphic intelligence into computing products at all scales





Achieve brain-like efficiency, speed, adaptability, and intelligence

6

What is Neuromorphic Computing? A Huge Bottom-up Exploration Space

Self-organized growth Autonomous healing Exploiting material time constants Oscillatory dynamics Stochasticity Local learning rules Very high fanout Distributed data representations Fine-grain parallelism Temporal data coding Sparse temporal activity ("Spikes") Sparse connectivity 3D wiring Recurrence and feedback loops Compute-memory integration Analog-valued persistent state Online causal adaptation Low precision Dynamics on diverse time scales Hybrid analog/digital computation Continuous time operation Parametric Heterogeneity

Increasingly exotic or uncommon properties in conventional computing systems

7

Our Approach: Iterative architecture-algorithms co-design

Neuro-Inspired Silicon Novel Neuro-Inspired Algorithms Example applications Pursue neuro Category No Conventional computing and traditional Deep learning: backprop-trained event-based DNNs Object and gesture recognition for event-based Al approaches inspiration? vision sensors, slip detection for event-based Yes tactile sensors, ANNs with sparsely changing Traditional accelerator-based input data Compute-memory No architectures Deep learning: DNNs with online adaptation Few-shot new gesture learning, Adaptive control, integrated? GPUs. TPU. Movidius Vector Symbolic Architectures (VSA), aka Semantic factorization, relational reasoning, Co-design Hyperdimensional Computing (HDC) symbolic and analogical reasoning Yes Neural Engineering Framework (NEF) Adaptive control systems, state machines Traditional neural network algorithms Temporal neuron No Dynamic Neural Fields (DNF) SLAM, object tracking, dynamic control, attention and other connectionist approaches models? Neural sampling e.g. spiking Boltzmann machines Constraint satisfaction, probabilistic inference Cerebras, Berkeley (Rabaey) **Oscillatory computation** Optimization, event-based spectral transforms, Yes (SNNs + derivatives) optic flow, audio spectral normalization Experimental small-scale designs Standard CMOS **Recurrent Excitation/Inhibition-balanced networks** LASSO regression, sparse feature coding Rigorous New RRAM crossbar chips, IBM (A. Sebastian's Event-based networks with temporally coded Graph search, similarity search PCM-based spiking neurons), Rain or new devices? Benchmarking Neuromorphics information CMOS Fully standard design methodologies Asynchronous No Tsinghua U (Tianjic), Zheijiang Labs Conventional Deep Networks Neuromorphic Networks (Darwin), Human Brain Project (SpiNNaker design style? 2), BrainChip, GrAl Matter Labs, IMEC $u_i(t) = \sum_j w_{ij} \left(\delta_j(t) * \alpha_u(t) \right) + b_i$ Yes $u_i = \sum_i w_{ii} f(u_i) + b_i$ $\tau \dot{v}_i(t) = (-v_i(t) + u_i(t)) - V_{thr} \delta_i(t)$ "Traditional" neuromorphic engineering Integrate analog Yes Examples: Stanford (BrainDrop), circuits? Fully-connected 1 SynSense/ETHz(DynapSE),Human modular eature man Brain Project (BrainscaleS) No Support plasticity? IBM (TrueNorth) (+ other novel features) Event Yes driven Intel Labs (Loihi) input output plastic recurrent

intel labs

⁻emporal

neurons

8

Chip implementation with asynchronous design

- Commercially developed over 2000-2015 at Fulcrum Microsystems and Intel
- Applied to five generation of commercial Ethernet switches
- Power consumption scales with activity matched for spiking neurons and sparse interconnect
- Low latency communication enables scaling to large systems
- Allows neuromorphic mesh to operate over 1000x faster than biological speeds using emergent synchronization
- Supports low energy, highly-ported SRAM arrays



Sparse, asynchronous communication is fast





Leads us to a new class of computer architecture



Realized in Loihi

KEY PROPERTIES

Compute and memory integrated to spatially embody programmed networks Temporal neuron models (LIF) to exploit temporal correlation Spike-based communication to exploit temporal sparsity

Sparse connectivity for efficient dataflow and scalability

On-chip learning without weight movement or data storage

Digital asynchronous implementation for power efficiency, scalability, and fast prototyping

Yet...

No floating-point numbers No multiply-accumulators No off-chip DRAM

Fundamental to deep learning hardware

Davies et al, "Loihi: A Neuromorphic Manycore Processor with On-Chip Learning." IEEE Micro, Jan/Feb 2018.

Significant progress over three years of Loihi research



Loihi Has Confirmed the Value of This Direction



Gesture recognition + learning Loihi + DAVIS 240C camera 60 mW total power, 15 mW dynamic¹

> Combinatorial optimization (CSP, SAT, ILP, QP)

Olfaction-inspired odor recognition and learning 3000x more data efficient learning than a deep autoencoder



2,800x lower energy and 44x faster vs CPU¹

ıdoku Solvei

Accaptive opport and control 40x lower power, 50% faster vs GPU	A daptive rebetie arm control	2,000/1			
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Adaptive robotic ann control				
	40x lower power, 50% faster vs GPU ¹			4	
	ան (MT 977)			2	
			5		
	a state			9	
			ı		6
	ADAPI		3	7	
					8
				1	9

M. Davies et al, "Advancing Neuromorphic Computing With Loihi: A Survey of Results and Outlook," Proc. IEEE, 2021. Results may vary.



Integrated behaviors: Object recognition, tracking, learning 100x lower power SLAM vs CPU

For the right workloads, orders of magnitude gains in latency and energy efficiency are achievable



M. Davies et al, "Advancing Neuromorphic Computing With Loihi: A Survey of Results and Outlook," Proc. IEEE, 2021. Results may vary.

Standard feed-forward deep neural networks give the least compelling gains (if gains at all)





M. Davies et al, "Advancing Neuromorphic Computing With Loihi: A Survey of Results and Outlook," Proc. IEEE, 2021. Results may vary.

Recurrent networks with novel bio-inspired properties give the best gains





M. Davies et al, "Advancing Neuromorphic Computing With Loihi: A Survey of Results and Outlook," Proc. IEEE, 2021. Results may vary.

Zooming in on the best examples: Optimization problems



1000 – 100,000x lower energy

What features best explain the sensory input?



What is the shortest path to my goal?

What is the shortest path while visiting each waypoint exactly once?



SNNs efficiently optimize via stochastic gradient descent



Loihi outperforms leading optimization solvers by orders of magnitude

QUBO (Maximum Independent Set) Workload: Find largest set of unconnected vertices **Relevance:** Target of SOTA guantum annealing approaches NP hard **Energy Delay Product** #vertices • 45 **5**00 **▲** 200 700 ŝ \cdot 10³ × 8 0 \supset $\frac{1}{10^{-4}}$ 10 50 100 150 Unconnected vertex pairs [x1000]

Integer Linear Programing (Train Scheduling)

In collaboration with:

Workload:

Find the largest possible set of route assignments, given customer requests and railway, time and train constraints.

Relevance:

- Large-scale, real-world use case
- Applicable to resource allocation in warehouses and production lines.



Loihi: Nahuku board running NxSDK 0.95 with an Intel Core i7-9700K host with 128GB RAM, running Ubuntu 16.04.6 LTS

QUBO-QBSolv/CPU: benchmarks ran on an Intel Xeon CPU E5-2699 v3 @ 2.30GHz with 32GB DRAM (https://github.com/dwavesystems/qbsolv)

ILP-CPU: Xeon-based commercial cloud service as used operationally by DB. Solver runtime was measured; energy consumption estimated based on a 100W TDP estimate.

Performance results are based on testing as of September 2021 and may not reflect all publicly available security updates. Results may vary.

Generalizing neuromorphic optimization

Example Applications			Optimizat	ion Probler	m Class	
Logistics Train scheduling Route optimization Supply chain design Jab. shap. sabaduling	CSP QUBO MILP	CSP	Problem constraint satisfaction problems	Domain \mathbb{Z}^n	Constraints	Cost Constant
Flight gate assignment	QUBO	ILP	integer linear programming	\mathbb{Z}^n	≥,=	
		LP	linear programming	\mathbb{R}^{n}	≥,=	Linear
Scientific computing Prototype design Material design Particle jet reconstruction Molecule structure prediction	CientificPrototype designMILPMaterial designLPParticle jet reconstructionQUBOMalegula structure predictionOUBO		mixed-integer linear programming	$\mathbb{Z}^n \cup \mathbb{R}^n$	≥,=	
	ΨOD0	QUBO	quadratic unconstrained binary optimization	$\{0,1\}^n$	/	
Trajectory optimization	QP MIOP	QP	quadratic programming	\mathbb{R}^{n}	≥,=	Nonlinear: Quadratic
Robotics & Al Image compression	QP CSP	MIQP	mixed-integer quadratic programming	$\mathbb{Z}^n \cup \mathbb{R}^n$	≥,=	
Neuromorphic Computing Lab		A	vailable on Loihi	= Equality	constraints	intel lab

NCL

Programming with Attractor Networks: Dynamic Neural Fields

Neural dynamics designed to create attractor states



Attractor Networks as State Variables

- Memory capture and hold inputs
- Attention ignore distractors
- Defined state transitions



Into a New Era of Neuromorphic Computing

Computational value is proven (using today's manufacturing tech)

Motivates a new computational paradigm (cheap, continuous optimization)

Many successful learning algorithms (albeit shallow so far, not deep)

Properties of suitable applications:

- Power constrained
- Latency constrained
- Process real-time signals
- Slowly evolving structure
- Benefit from shallow online learning
- Apply deep learning for offline trainingc

Outlook to Commercial Value



Scaled up systems

- Acceleration for datacenter optimization workloads
- Recommendation systems
- Scientific computing, HPC



General-purpose research chips and software framework

Today:



- Scene awareness and localization
- Model predictive control
- Navigation and planning
- Consumer devices (longer term)



- Audio and other signal processing functions in SoCs
- Sensor integration (e.g. event-based cameras, electronic skins)
- Wireless signal processing and channel optimization
- IP and embedded accelerators for Intel Foundry customers



Challenges and headwinds







High cost due to on-chip memory integration Algorithms and Programming models Software convergence

A greatly improved Loihi 2 chip

10x Faster 2-10x faster circuits² and design optimizations speed up workloads by up to 10x³

8x More Neurons

Up to 1 million neurons per chip with up to 80x better synaptic utilization, in 1.9x smaller die

Better Scaling and Integration 3D scaling with 4x more bandwidth per link⁴, >10x compression⁵ with standard interfaces



Generalized and optimized neuromorphic core

Generalized **Spikes** Spikes carry int8 magnitudes for greater workload precision

Programmable Neurons Neuron models described by microcode instructions

Enhanced Learning Support for powerful new "three factor" learning rules from neuroscience



Better Synaptic Compression



 $O(n^2)$ to O(n)compression

Better Utilization of Core Memory

Highly ported centralized async memory array provides resource allocation flexibility









Loihi 2 systems and characterization



Kapoho Point Stackable 8-chip board

Selected chip	Selected chip measurements						
	Loihi 1 ⁷	Loihi 26	Improvement				
Neuron update time (ns)	9.6	4.4	2.2x faster				
Synaptic Op time (ns)	4.0	0.66	6x faster				
Minimum timestep (us)	1.57	0.19	8.3x faster				
Neuron update energy (pJ)	70	56	25% lower				
Synaptic Op energy (pJ)	21	7.8	2.7x lower				

^{6,7}See backup for characterization details.. Results may vary.

Deep Learning with Loihi 2





PilotNet: Predict steering wheel angle from dashboard

video

Bojarski, Mariusz et al. "End to end learning for self-driving cars." arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.07316 (2016).

Loihi 2 greatly improves Loihi 1's weakest results (Feed-forward DNNs)



: vehicle control onnected layer onnected layer onnected layer	9-layer Convolu network
utional map 18	
utional map 20	

Convolutional feature map 48@5x22 Convolutional feature map 36@14x47

Convolutional feature map 24@31x98

volutional

	Loihi 1 LIF SNN ⁷	bihi 1 Loihi 2 SNN 7 LIF SNN 6		Loihi 2 - SDNN ³			
Mean-Square-Error	0.049	0.049	1	0.037	32% lower		
Neuron cores	368	70	5x smaller	70	5x smaller		
Latency (ms)	15.5	2.56		1.22	0.10		
Throughput (fps)	808	4877	6x faster	7404	9-12x faster		
TOPS (DNN equiv)	0.05	0.166		0.25	laster		
Energy (uJ/frame)	1770	270	6.5x better	120	15x more		

0.13

LIF SNNs are rate-coded and direct trained. SDNN is a sigma-delta coded ReLU network All networks are trained with lava-dl. Unbatched data processing

0.02

TOPS/W (DNN equiv)

^{3, 6, 7} See backup for characterization details.. Results may vary.

0.28

NCL Neuromorphic Computing Lab

intel labs

efficient

Other new Loihi 2 networks: Resonate-and-Fire neurons





Optical Flow for Event-Cameras



Resonate and Fire neurons compute optical flow for event-cameras with higher accuracy and 90x fewer ops than leading DNN solution



50x sparser output than conventional Short Time Fourier Transform

G. Orchard et al, "Efficient Neuromorphic Signal Processing with Loihi 2" IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Systems, Coimbra, Portugal, Oct 2021

a new software framework for neuromorphic computing

Event-based communication between simple parallel processes

Multi-Paradigm

Multi-Abstraction

Multi-Platform

Open source with permissive licensing of all core components

Today's SW for neuromorphic computing

	TensorFlow	PyTorch	Nengo	PyNN	Nx SDK	BRIAN	ROS	Lava
Asynchronous message passing	×	×	×	×	×	×	V	Ø
CPU and GPU support				×	×			
HW acceleration						×	×	
Direct Backprop			×	×	×	×	×	
Behavioral abstraction	×	×	\checkmark	×	×	×	×	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
Spiking neuron modeling	×	×					X	
Permissive open source licensing			×	×	×	×		

See https://github.com/lava-nc

Multi-Paradigm

Optimization

Neural Attractors

Deep Learning

Vector Symbolic



LCA, Stochastic SNNs LASSO, QP, CSP, ILP, QUBO

+ model learning

Dynamic Neural Fields, Continuous Attractor NNs, WTA

+ associative learning

ANN->SNN rate-coded conversion, Directly trained SNN ConvNets Sigma-Delta Neural Networks TTFS- and Phase-coded SNNs

+ gradient learning

HRRs, MAPs, Sparse Block Codes, Associative Memories, Resonator Networks

+ HD learning

Many others to come: NEF, Reservoir Computing, STICK, Equilibrium Propagation, evolutionary, ...

Multi-Abstraction



Multi-Platform

FPGA

CPL







- Fast compilation and execution
- Performant real-time operation

- Loihi 2
 Others...

Loihi 1

CPU GPU FPGA

•

•

CPU-only Execution for Exploration and Prototyping



Performance Analysis Details

¹ CPU dynamic neural field measurements obtained using repoversion of Cedar (<u>https://cedar.ini.rub.de/</u>) as of October 2021 running on an Intel Core i7-4720HQ CPU with four threads, 128GB RAM, with Ubuntu 18.04 OS. Loihi 1 simulation measurements obtained using a silicon-calibrated Lava profiling model (unreleased) as of September 2021. Each DNF is a 2D mesh attractor with 27x27 neurons, with one input DNF fanning out to all other DNFs operating in parallel.

² Based on comparisons between barrier synchronization time, synaptic update time, neuron update time, and neuron spike times between Loihi 1 and 2. Loihi 1 parameters measured from silicon characterization (see below); Loihi 2 parameters measured from both silicon characterization with N3B1 revision and pre-silicon circuit simulations using back-annotated timing for Loihi 2.

³ Based on Lava simulations in September, 2021 of a nine-layer variant of the PilotNet DNN inference workload implemented as a sigma-delta neural network on Loihi 2 compared to the same network implemented with SNN rate-coding on Loihi. The Loihi 2 SDNN implementation gives better accuracy than the Loihi 1 rate-coded implementation. Equivalent DNN op counts calculated from a conventional DNN implementation with the same topology and same number of 8-bit parameters.

See Bojarski, Mariusz et al. "End to end learning for self-driving cars." arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.07316 (2016).

⁴ Circuit simulations of Loihi 2's wave pipelined signaling circuits show 800 Mtransfers/s compared to Loihi 1's measured performance of 185 Mtransfers/s.

⁵ Based on analysis of 3-chip and 7-chip Locally Competitive Algorithm examples.

⁶ Loihi 1 measurements were obtained on Oheo Gulch FMC board ncl-og-06 using an internal version of NxSDK advanced from v1.0.0

⁷ Loihi 2 measurements were obtained on Nahuku 32 board ncl-ghrd-01 using NxSDK v1.0.0

The Lava performance model for both chips is based on silicon characterization in September 2021 using the Nx SDK release 1.0.0 with an Intel Xeon E5-2699 v3 CPU @ 2.30 GHz, 32GB RAM, as the host running Ubuntu version 20.04.2. Loihi results use Nahuku-32 system ncl-ghrd-04. Loihi 2 results use Oheo Gulch system ncl-og-04.

Results may vary.



Email inrc_interest@intel.com for more information Visit <u>https://github.com/lava-nc</u> to get started with Lava